

Teys Road Culvert Upgrade

Safety in Design

Client: Banana Shire Council (BSC)

6 September 2024



Document Control

Document History

Date	Version	Name	Position	Action (Review/Endorse/Approve)		
05/07/2024	0.1	Jacob Grimish	Designer (Civil)	Draft for Review		
06/09/2024	1.0	Jacob Grimish	Designer (Civil)	Final		

Certification

Date	Name	Position	Signature
06/09/24	J. Grimish	Designer (Civil)	Stiml
06/09/24	G. Alexander	RPEQ	. Member

Contents

Document Control

Document History

Certification

- 1. Purpose of this Document
- 2. Project Scope and Objectives
- 3. Safe Design
- 4. Duty of Care / Disclaimer
- 5. Risk Management

Appendix A – Safe Design Risk Register

1. Purpose of this Document

The purpose of this document is to identify and control project specific risks, where possible, in the civil design phase to ensure the safety of constructors, maintenance providers and end users. All risks identified as part of the design are documented in this report and provided for appropriate risk management in future phases. Risks unable to be closed out in the design phase are to be documented in the report and communicated to the Client, for action in the construction and/or later phases. This document has been produced to provide support to the design undertaken for the Teys Road Culvert Upgrade.

2. Project Scope and Objectives

Scope of works for this project include the detailed design of a culvert upgrade on Teys Road. Typical works within the project include:

- Culvert installation
- Open drain construction
- Re-profiling of Teys Rd longitudinally

3. Safe Design

Safe design begins from the outset or planning phase of a project and is further refined in the concept and development phases. Safe design covers the:

- Design of a project or a component of a project and its intended purpose or future use
- Materials being used
- Possible methods of construction, maintenance, and operation of the product, and
- Legislation, codes of practice and standards that need to be complied with.

Safe design is a collaborative effort between all parties involved throughout the lifecycle of the project and where possible should eliminate or minimize the risk of project lifecycle occupational health and safety hazards as early as practical. It also encompasses the management and documentation of remaining risks so all parties involved can understand and be aware of all risks identified in the design phase of the project lifecycle.

Safe design consists of a balance between cost, functionality, and aesthetics; without compromise to the health and safety of those who will construct, use, and maintain the product and community expectations. While not all risks can be eliminated or it be cost effective to remove all risks, Safe Design principles in the planning phase should aim to:

- Prevent injury and disease
- Improve useability of products, systems, and facilities
- Improve productivity in all phases
- Reduce operation costs
- Better predict and manage production and operational costs over the lifecycle of a product
- Comply with legislation, and
- Incorporate innovative design which fosters safer design practices and demands new thinking.

4. Duty of Care / Disclaimer

This document is not intended to be a standalone document, it should be read in conjunction with the Work Health and Safety Act 2011 and the Work Health and Safety Regulation 2011. The Act and Regulation applies to all phases of a project lifecycle from concept, through design, construction, maintenance, and decommissioning and provides that all risks to health and safety be eliminated, so far as is practical or minimised so far as is reasonably practical where they cannot be eliminated. To properly manage exposure to a risk, a person must:

- Identify hazards
- Assess risks that may result because of the hazards
- Identify appropriate control measures to eliminate of minimise the level of risk

CITC quality people client focused solution driven

- Implement control measures, and
- Monitor and review the effectiveness of control measures.

To comply with the above, assumptions are made during the assessment as to what construction and maintenance practices may be adopted which may differ from actual methods adopted by those undertaking the works. Use of this document does not remove any obligation of any party involved, either during or after this document is published. A duty of care applies to all parties during subsequent phases and it is incumbent on those involved to further assess risks and hazards include:

- the client
- project managers
- constructor
- maintenance personnel
- users
- visitors
- demolishers, and
- disposers.

Further Safety advice, hazard identification, risk assessment or control measures may indicate other risks associated with the project that have not been identified in the document. Reference is made to the principle of what is considered 'reasonably practical' regarding the extent of Safe Design achievable by the designers.

Use of this document does not remove the obligation of the client, constructor end user or other parties during the lifecycle of the project.

Any party who has read this document and disagrees with the assessment or requires clarification of an item should contact the Project Designer at their earliest opportunity.

5. Risk Management

Table 1 – Methods of controlling risk in order of preference

Method	
Elimination	Remove the risk by modifying the design
Substitution	Remove or reduce the risk by modifying the design
Isolation	Physically separate the hazard
Engineered Control	Using Design Safety measure to reduce risks
Administration	Using formal process to reduce the risk
PPE	Ensure appropriate Personal Protective Equipment is used or worn.

The Risk Assessment Matrix is intended to assist our designers in:

- Fulfilling their obligations under the Work Health and Safety Act 2011.
- Achieving safe, economical and efficient constructions for our clients.
- Consulting and communicating with all parties involved in a project (designers, client, end-users, constructors etc.) to establish the hazards and risks identified during the design phase associated with the construction, operation, maintenance and decommissioning of a project.
- Consulting and communicating with all parties involved in a project on the controls that have or are required to mitigate these risks. This is not an exhaustive list and all parties should therefore undertake a thorough review of this document to satisfy themselves that it accurately reflects the intended purpose.
- Consulting and communicating to all parties the controls adopted to mitigate these risks and any residual risks that are considered present during construction, operation, maintenance and decommission that may need continual monitoring to achieve a safe working environment.



Appendix A – Safe Design Risk Register

	Safety in Design Register												
	Teys Road Culvert Upgrade												
			Hazards				Controls	s					Action
	Raw Risk (no controls)						Residual Risk						
No. P	Project Phase	Risk Description	Consequence Description	Likelihood 1. Very Unlikely 2. Unlikely 3. Possible 4. Likely 5. Almost Certain	Consequence A. Minor B. Major C. Severe D. Critical E. Catastrophic	Risk Rating	Mitigation Strategy / Control Measures	Likelihood 1. Very Unlikely 2. Unlikely 3. Possible 4. Likely 5. Almost Certain	Consequence A. Minor B. Major C. Severe D. Critical E. Catastrophic	Risk Rating	Responsibility	By When	Comments / Notes
1 Pre-D		Insufficient/inaccurate data collection. (e.g. GIS, Traffic Data, LIDAR, Aerial photography)	Risk results in inadequate or substandard design that could lead to potential safety risk to travelling public, Constructors and maintenance workers.	4	D	Significant	Project is adequately scoped, discussed and documented during pre-detailed design phases to ensure data collection is appropriate. Detailed survey has been supplied for this project	1	с	Low	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual risk with Principal
2 Pre-D	Design	Poor Scoping/Client brief on project requirements.	Risk results in inadequate design that could lead to potential safety risk. EDD, design exceptions, funding constraints.	4	D	Significant	Risks identified and accepted by Client. Mitigating treatments incorporated into design to the available funding.	2	В	Negligible	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual risk with Principal Client decisions recorded within Design Decision Register.
3 Desig	şn	Omission of hydraulic assessment as part of the project	Risks with afflux issues upstream (affecting Jambin Dakenba Rd and private properties)	3	D	Significant	Undertake hydraulic assessment to determine if issues will occur. Risk highlighted with client and accepted	3	D	Significant	BSC	Detailed Design	Residual risk with BSC
4 Desig	gn	Errors and omissions in design.	Errors/omissions in design resulting in inadequate or substandard design that could lead to potential safety risk to travelling public. Constructor, maintenance – workers	3	E	Extreme	Design has been carried out in accordance with quality management procedures to avoid potential for errors in design. Design has been carried out in accordance with Australian Standards and quality management procedures in line with scope and deliverables to avoid potential for errors in design.	1	D	Moderate	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual risk with Principal
5 Desig	gn		E.g. Traffic management, working near overhead power lines, lifting, trenching, site access, materials storage and handling (Asbetos identified within site), working close to travelling public due to corridor restrictions.	4	E	Extreme	Design incorporates learnings from previous projects and include recommendations from industry experts on appropriate site treatments in the design.	2	с	Low	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual Risk transferred to Contractor.
6 Desig	gn	Project exceeds budget	Identified saftety issues will not be addressed leading to an unsafe environment for the travelling public.	3	D	Significant	BSC to prepare contingency plans to reduce project cost to within budget constraints.	2	D	Moderate	BSC	Detailed Design	Residual risk with Principal
7 Desig	ğn	Hazards in designated clear zones and road corridor.	Poor Scoping of project requirements resulting in inadequate design that could lead to potential safety risk to travelling public, constructor, maintenance. Impact of errant vehicle resulting in injury or death.	3	E	Extreme	Risks identified and accepted by BSC. Mitigating treatments have been incorporated into the design. Hazard Treatment Evaluation undertaken in accordance with Austroads and the information available at the time of detailed design.	2	D	Moderate	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual risk with Principal
8 Desig	gn	Services not identified during design.	This could lead to the potential safety risk of constructors and/or closure of key services to the general public.	4	D	Significant	 Contact DBYD and other relevant authorities to identify existing services (DBYD received 22/02/24). Designers have noted known services on drawings. Carry out field inspection to confirm and identify any potential service related issues e.g. potholing and locating activities. Locating activities have been carried out as part of the design phase. Depth information shown on plans. Contractor to complete service locations to verify no existing infrastructure is 	2	D	Moderate	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
9 Desig	ġn	Presence of Telstra/NBN services within project extents	Interruption of a public utility assett, potential safety risk of constructors and/or closure of key services to the general public.	4	D	Significant	present within the works footprint. • Potholing of service undertaken through Design phase. • Depths to services shown. • No works to be undertaken outside of project extents.	2	D	Moderate	Designer/ Principal	Detailed Design	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
1 Const	truction	Drainage during construction	Poor drainage during construction affecting pavements/traffic/etc	3	В	Low	Maintain flow paths during construction where practical. Make pumping equipment available if required.	2	А	Negligible	Contractor	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and contractor
2 Const	truction	Exposure to asbestos	Existing abandoned conduits/pits/culverts may be present which could be exposed during construction.	2	D	Moderate	Details of existing services/culverts where known have been provided. Contractor to undertake appropriate intestigations as required.	1	D	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and Contractor It is unknown if any asbestos infrastructure is located within the project limit.
3 Const	truction	Deep excavation of trenches	Trench collapse injuries	2	E	Significant	Depth of culverts to be minimised where possible. Contractor to employ appropriate temporary work measures.	1	E	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and contractor
4 Const	truction	Design changes made by Contractor or Administrator following	Design changes do not meet safety requirements.	3	C	Moderate	Contractor / Administrator to advise the Designer or any proposed design	1	с	Low	BSC	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and contractor
		design completion Working in vicinity of High Voltage Ergon power lines, both overhead					changes. Follow RFI process. Contractor to identify all services and have construction procedures for working						Constructors shall conduct their own DBYD and verify all utilities on site prior to commencing any
5 Const	truction	and underground.	Death or serious injury	2	E	Significant	near HV services.	1	E	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	roadworks.
6 Const	truction	The risk of traffic not being managed adequately.	Traffic chaos, delays and accidents caused by lack of controls.	2	E	Significant	Designer has nominated traffic volumes in design documentation. It is noted that the traffic volumes are low. Contractor to engage a suitably qualified traffic manager to implement traffic management controls considering road function; traffic volumes; constructability and road users.	1	E	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
7 Const	truction	Working on top of high and steep embankments	Injury due to personnel fall or overturning construction plant	3	E	Extreme	Consider construction methodology prior to implemenation.	2	D	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and contractor
8 Const	truction	Lighting levels during construction.	Inadequate lighting of conflict points during construction resulting in confusion/collisions	2	В	Negligible	Temporary standalone LED lighting, if required.	1	В	Negligible	BSC	Construction	Residual risk with Principal and contractor
9 Const	truction	Disruption / damage to existing services	Constructors may damage existing services during construction. Service may/may not have been shown on design plans.	3	D	Significant	Constructors to conduct dial before you dig and no work shall be carried out over utility or within 3m of services without prior notification to the appropriate service authorities. Contractor to complete service locations to verify existing infrastructure. Appropriate demarcations and planning by contractor to highlight any locations where work activities are undertaking in the vicinity of existing services.	2	D	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Constructors shall conduct their own DBYD and verify all utilities on site prior to commencing any roadworks or excavations.
10 Const	truction	Unexpected weather events resulting in potential injury to construction personnel and/or travelling public	Sudden weather events resulting in the need to evacuate the site.	4	D	Significant	Constructor to consider location, likely duration and characteristics of project to determine likelihood of event and consider project specific mitigation strategies via risk management.	3	D	Significant	Contractor	Construction	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
11 Const		Unearthing unexpected soil types e.g. acid sulphate soil, sodic soils or contaminated soil from rail reserves. resulting in potential safety risk to construction personnel and general public.	This results in potential safety risk to construction personnel and general public.	3	D	Significant	 Design to consider location and likelihood of encountering specific soil type. Site inspection and/or geotechnical investigation to confirm presence of soils requiring specific treatment. Include comments in "notes to contract administrators" advising of potential for presence of hazardous materials. Experienced construction staff that can recognise potential hazards 	3	c	Moderate	Contractor	Construction	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
12 Const		Incorrect or unsuitable surface treatment either temporary or permanent resulting in potential safety risk to the travelling public. e.g. line marking removal, appropriate seal design	This results in potential safety risk to construction personnel and general public.	3	D	Significant	Constructor to consider road function, traffic volumes, location and seasonal conditions to propose suitable surface treatment.	2	E	Significant	Contractor	Construction	Residual Risk with Principal and Contractor
1 Main	itenance	Final product leads to potential safety issues with maintenance activities.	Personel cannot undertake maintainance activities safely due to the proposed design.	3	C	Moderate	Design to consider maintenance requirements including provision of safe environment to facilitate maintenance activities including safe ingress and egress and clear work area. E.g. batter slopes, under bridge inspections, gardens in medium strips, allowance for access tracks etc.	1	E	Moderate	BSC	Ongoing	Residual risk with Principal
2 Main		Inadequate as constructed information.	Existing conditions not accurately reflected.	4	E		Adequate handover to maintenance provider.	1	D	Moderate	BSC	Ongoing	Residual risk with Principal
1 Finali	isation	Not applying all the appropriate standards.	This could result in an unsafe design.	3	D	Significant	Carry out appropriate design reviews and RPEQ approvals	1	D	Moderate	Designer	Ongoing	Residual risk with Principal