T2425.33 Nobbs Street Drainage Upgrade

Question 1: For this project, does the contractor need to engage any traffic management personnel? If so, what is the minimum number of personnel required?

Response: The contractor will be responsible for arranging appropriate traffic management for the project. Council is open to Nobbs Street being closed for the duration of works. Should a contractor choose to proceed with a closure, then traffic management may only require signage. These measures are for the contractor to determine.

Question 2: For the Road Development works, Does the contractor also need to supply Roadbase and Asphalt or Bitumen spray, or will it be arranged by the council?

Response: The project scope includes road widening and bitumen sealing and the contractor will be required to supply these materials and complete these works.

Question 3: Does the cost of Accommodation, Meals and Travel for Labourers need to be included in the Labour charges per hourly rate or should it be provided separately as a provisional item?

Response: Please include these costs against Item 20101 – Contractors Site Facilities.

Question 4: There seems to be some items missing from boq? Culverts ? Could you please confirm all items are in boq that relate to the drawings?

Response: There was an error during formatting. Line Item 30253.01 should read as follows.

Supply and installation of concrete box culvert components, [2/1500x900] - 16.8m – Updated Pricing Schedule attached

Question 5: The drawings detail a 2 cell 1500x900 RCBC but there are only RCPs scheduled? Can you please confirm the drainage design?

Response: See response to Question 4.

Question 6: Could you please clarify a few items in the BOQs.

Response:

Item 30251.01 - the plans don't show an extension of the 375mm pipe?
The existing pipe at Chainage 280 it to be extended north by a single 2.44m cell and a new sloping headwall installed.

Item 30253.01 - Is this supposed to be 2/1500x900 RCBC? We don't see anywhere on the plans requiring 450mm pipe.

See response to Question 4.